

**YOUNGO's Submission for  
Work programme under the framework for cooperative approaches referred to in Article 6.2  
Chapter IV (Reporting)**

**Contributors: Karishma Ansaram, Zac Pinard**  
***climatefinance@youngoclimate.org***

1. We recommend that Parties should align their time horizon of the cooperative approach i.e to either be single year or multi-year NDCs. The presence of two different time horizons might lead to leakage or even not be deemed as stringent to meet the NDC cycle goal.
2. We came across the term 'Participating Party' several times in the document. However, they have been used and referred to Party transferring and Party receiving the mitigation outcomes in different contexts. It will be helpful to define the term at the beginning of the report and to maintain consistency on the use throughout.
3. According to Chapter III.C, the definition of 'other international mitigation purposes' shall be determined by the party is deemed as ambiguous. Further, we are concerned about whether The Secretariat shall have visibility and accountability of 'other international mitigation purposes' that are present globally.
4. We recommend that Parties should be required to provide a publicly accessible statement on goals and targets set by their NDC, which they have not been able to meet as per Chapter IV. A. 18. (d).
5. In the interest of transparency as per Chapter IV. A. 29., this Article 6 database registry of compliance mechanisms for every party should be publicly accessible.
6. There is no mention of the window or timeline for the consistency checks as illustrated in Chapter VI.B33.